

**IWM 3: Turku, Finland**  
**6-7 June, 2000**

Session 6: Case Histories

Full Scale Field Test on  
Single Micropiles and Networks  
of Micropiles of Titan and  
Expander Body Types

Dr. D.A. Bruce

## Summary

- Full scale field test funded by U.S. Military, installed and tested by Structural Preservation Systems, Inc. (SPS) in Baltimore, MD, and designed and analyzed by Geosystems, L.P.
- Bulk of test used a total of 28 “self-drilling” Titan Ischebeck micropiles, installed in 6 groups/networks, plus 6 individual vertical “baseline” Titan micropiles.
- 4 individual Expander Body micropiles also installed vertically for comparison in same soils.
- Typically in medium/dense, medium/fine sand.
- Lateral and tensile testing of groups/networks. Tensile testing only of vertical elements.

## Summary (Continued)

- Titans (30/16) shown in Table 1, Figures 1, 3, and 4, and Photos 7 and 8.
- Expander Bodies as per Table 4.
- Network load testing as per Figure 10, Photos 11 and 12, and Table 5.
- Individual Titans in sand averaged 1.7 to 2.6 kips/ft (21 to 27 psi). Expander Bodies as per Page 36 (could not fail EB 308 at tendon yield).
- Titan network lateral data shown in Table 9 and Figure 11.
- Titan network tensile loading as in Tables 10 and 11.
- Summary of performance data – Section 7.2.

## 7.2 Summary of Performance Data

- Ultimate bond values for individual vertical Titans in sand ranged from 1.72 to 2.63 kips/ft (average 2.2 kips/ft). (21 to 26 psi; average 24 psi). For the two shortest piles in clayey fill with no other overburden cover, the values were several times lower.
- The two small Soilex EB anchors (28 to 30 cm diameter) could not be failed at tendon yield (about 43 kips), with depths of cover from 4 to 9 feet. The two larger bodies (30 to 42 cm diameter) experienced pull out at loads of 81 to 103 kips with cover of 6 ft; in and 7 ft 9 in respectively. The tests confirmed that, inter al., the ultimate capacity in these soils was directly proportional to the cross sectional area of the inflated EB.

Table 1. Details of pile group composition.

GROUP	NO. OF BARS IN EACH GROUP	NOTE
AV	2	Vertical, 2 feet apart, 9 feet long
AR	2	Inclined out at 25°, 2 feet apart at surface; 9 feet long
BV	4	Vertical at 90° round 2-foot diameter circle; 9 feet long
BR	4	Inclined out at 25°, round 2-foot diameter circle; 9 feet long
CV	8	Vertical at 45° spacing, round 2-foot diameter circle; 9 feet long
CR	8	Inclined out at 25°, at 45° spacing around a 2-foot diameter circle; 9 feet long

## 7.2 Summary of Performance Data (continued)

- Each pile cap was subjected to three or five lateral load tests from different directions. In general:
  - A normalized group elastic stiffness ratio was calculated for each cap in each test.
  - The cap performance became generally "softer" progressively as successive tests were conducted.
  - Reticulated groups were stiffer than vertical groups, by 26% (2 piles), 100% (4 piles) and 300% (8 piles).
  - Oblique loading provided lower stiffness data.
  - Stiffness increased with the number of piles in the groups and with reticulation: the reticulated 8-pile group was over 12 times stiffer than the vertical 2-pile group.

## 7.2 Summary of Performance Data (continued)

- For group tensile loading, the 2-pile group and the reticulated 4-pile group performed in manners characteristic of the individual piles each acting independently (i.e., interfacial bond failure as in the case of the individual Titans). However, the vertical 4-pile group and two 8-pile groups failed as if the piles load created a continuous cylindrical failure surface allowing the whole group to be extracted at a lower load - consistent with the shear strength of the soil itself. These data argue for a certain minimum interpile spacing to be used to allow the piles to act independently.